

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/795,858	MATAYA, ROBERT F.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stefan Staicovici	1732	

All Participants:

(1) Stefan Staicovici.

(2) Mr. William Kircher.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 25 August 2006

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

111

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Staicovici indicated that in claim 111, on line 14, the limitation of "the resin" does not have an antecedent basis. Examiner Staicovici suggested that, on line 6, after "mold;", on a new line, to insert "applying resin to the fiber lay up, in order to provide clear and supported language to define over the art of record. Mr. Kircher agreed to the proposed amendment. .